| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.                  | Applicant(s)                    |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|  | 10/765,642                       | YABLOKO, LEONID                 |
|  | Examiner                         | Art Unit                        |
|  | THU-NGUYET LE                    | 2162                            |
| All Participants:  | Status of Application:           |                                 |
| (1) <u>THU-NGUYET LE, Examiner</u> .   | (3)                              |                                 |
| (2) <u>MICHAEL L. GREENBERG, Applicant's</u><br><u>Representative</u> .  | (4)                              |                                 |
| Date of Interview: <u>17 September 2009</u>  | Time: <u>1:00PM</u>              |                                 |
| Type of Interview:  ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica   | nt's representative)             |                                 |
| Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:  |                                  |                                 |
| Part I.  |                                  |                                 |
| Rejection(s) discussed: NONE   |                                  |                                 |
| Claims discussed: 1, 3-5, 7, 9-11, 19-20.  |                                  |                                 |
| Prior art documents discussed: NONE  |                                  |                                 |
| Part II.   |                                  |                                 |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet   |                                  |                                 |
| Part III.  |                                  |                                 |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul> |                                  |                                 |
|  |                                  |                                 |
|  |                                  |                                 |
| ( )  | nnlicant/Annlicant's Representat | ive Signature _ if appropriate\ |
| (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)  |                                  |                                 |

Application No. 10/765,642

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed an amendment to place the application in condition for allowance by incorporating the limitations of claims 3-4 into claim 1. A similar amendments are also applied independent claim 7. Claim 7 and its dependent claims should be amended to overcome the 112 second paragraph problem because the means for producing/associating/allocating are not defined in the disclosure. The examiner will submit an examiner amendment as authorized by Applicant's representative.